# **Arkansas**

# UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2019 Behavioral Health Report

# COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 06/07/2017 - Expires 06/30/2020 (generated on 04/10/2020 9.02.40 AM)

Center for Mental Health Services
Division of State and Community Systems Development

## A. State Information

#### **State Information**

#### **State DUNS Number**

Number 119841336

**Expiration Date** 

## I. State Agency to be the Grantee for the Block Grant

Agency Name Arkansas Department of Human Services

Organizational Unit Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services

Mailing Address 305 S Palm St

City Little Rock

Zip Code 72205

#### II. Contact Person for the Grantee of the Block Grant

First Name Jay

Last Name Hill

Agency Name AR Department of Human Services, Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Helath Services

Mailing Address 305 S Palm St

City Little Rock

Zip Code 72205

Telephone 501-686-9981 Fax 501-686-9182

Email Address jay.hill@dhs.arkansas.gov

# III. State Expenditure Period (Most recent State exependiture period that is closed out)

From 7/1/2017
To 6/30/2018

#### **IV. Date Submitted**

#### NOTE: This field will be automatically populated when the application is submitted.

Submission Date 11/29/2018 2:53:49 PM

Revision Date 2/4/2019 2:28:32 PM

#### V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name Rachael

Last Name Veregge

Telephone 501-320-6431 Fax 501-686-9182

Email Address rachael.veregge@dhs.arkansas.gov

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

#### **Footnotes:**

Printed: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

# **B. Implementation Report**

#### MHBG Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

Priority #: **Priority Area:** Substance Abuse Treatment **Priority Type:** SAT PWWDC, PP, PWID Population(s): Goal of the priority area: Maintain and expand access to substance abuse services for the indigent and/or court involved population Strategies to attain the goal: - Contract with community based providers to provide services to the indigent populations. These contracts prioritize individuals who are intravenous drug users, women who are pregnant and/or parenting, military, and adolescents. - Provide detoxification, outpatient services, partial day treatment, residential services, and Specialized Women Services. - Substance abuse treatment providers will support faith-based organizations and community partners to develop a collaborative partnership -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-Indicator #: Indicator: Number of unduplicated individuals served **Baseline Measurement:** A 1.5% increase from baseline. First-year target/outcome measurement: **Second-year target/outcome measurement:** A 3% increase from baseline. New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Client specific treatment data reported from the state's substance use disorder treatment data system (Alcohol/Drug Management Information System: ADMIS). New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** The Baseline Measurement is the number of unduplicated individuals served from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 (SFY 2016). The first-year target will include data from SFY 2017. The second-year target will include SFY 2018. New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: The most current data available for establishing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment **✓** Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Indicator #: 2

Indicator: Units of Services Provided

**Baseline Measurement:** Total Units for Residential Treatment = 1000,170 days; Total Units for Outpatient Treatment

= 2901 hours; Total Detoxification Units = 3270 hours

**First-year target/outcome measurement:** First year target represents a 1.5% increase from baseline.

**Second-year target/outcome measurement:** Second year target represents a 3% increase from baseline.

#### New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

#### **Data Source:**

Client specific treatment data reported from the state's substance use disorder treatment data system (Alcohol/Drug Management Information System: ADMIS).

# New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

The Baseline Measurement is the number of unduplicated individuals served from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 (SFY 2016). The first-year target will include data from SFY 2017. The second-year target will include SFY 2018.

## New Description of Data: (if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

The most current data available for establishing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY 2017 and 2018, respectively.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

# Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)

#### Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

During Year 1, Arkansas saw a decrease in the number of residential treatment, outpatient treatment and detoxification days from our baseline measurements. Arkansas began utilizing discretionary grant funding that targeted opioid users, which allowed block grant funding to be used to cover other service gaps. Additionally, outpatient services have not been made available through the Arkansas Medicaid Program.

## How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #: 2

Priority Area: Mental Health Treatment

**Priority Type:** MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED

### Goal of the priority area:

Maintain or expand access to quality mental health services for the population of adults with serious mental illness and children with serious emotional disturbance.

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

Improve contracts with community based providers to provide mental health treatment to adults with serious mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance.

Priority #: 3

**Priority Area:** Behavioral Health Medicaid transformation

**Priority Type:** SAT, MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Children/Youth at Risk for BH Disorder)

## Goal of the priority area:

Promote and improve integrated care approaches, best practices, recovery-oriented services, and delivery and access to services for underserved communities within the Medicaid system.

## Strategies to attain the goal:

Continue to meet with stakeholders to garner feedback and support.

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Transition RSPMI Providers to BHA Certfication in the OBHS system
Baseline Measurement:	56
First-year target/outcome measurement:	53
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	56
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Medicaid data warehouse; Provider databas	e
New Data Source(if needed):	
,, ,	
Description of Data:	
	ormation on Medicaid providers, clients and claims. The provider database houses
demographic information on just the provide	lers.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baselin first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baselin first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first s 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.
have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseling first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baselin first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first s 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseling first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first so 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures:  Al Attainment
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Achieve	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseling first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures:  Al Attainment  Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Achiev	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseling first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures:  Al Attainment  Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseling first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures who will represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures who will represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures who will represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Achieve  Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baselin first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first s 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures who should transition during the first s 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measure of the initial count, baselin during the first service who should transition during the first servic
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Achieve  Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baselin first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first s 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures who should transition during the first s 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measure of the initial count, baselin during the first service who should transition during the first servic
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Achieve  Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseling first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measure of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measure of the meas
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and ch  How first year target was achieved (optional)	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseling first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures are represented by the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the first is a solution.  The measures is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers who should transition during the first is a solution of the providers where the providers who should transition durin
The first-year target outcome represents the have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to tr measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The year. The second year target of 56 represent  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome  Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and ch  How first year target was achieved (optional)  Indicator #:	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseling first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first is 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.  The measures are measures:  Al Attainment  The measures are measures.  Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)  The anges proposed to meet target:

Second-year target/outcome measurement: 45 New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Medicaid data warehouse; provider database New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** The Medicaid data warehouse houses all information on Medicaid providers, clients and claims. The provider database houses demographic information on just the providers. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Currently certified Licensed Mental Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpatient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018. The LMHP program will sundown on June 30, 2018. The first year target represents 95% of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increase of 5% (2) of new ILP applications being approved for a total of 43. The second year target, 45 represents an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July 1, 2018-June 1, 2019. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: At the end of SFY 2017 there was a total of 41 certified LMHPs. During SFY 2018, 55 individuals were certified as ILPs. Of these 55, 17 had converted from the former LMHP program to the new ILP program. Thus far in SFY 2019, 108 individuals have been certified as an ILP, bringing the total to 163 individuals. Our first year goal of converting 43 individuals to the ILP program was not met. We cannot say for sure why these individuals chose not to convert to the new program. How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #:

**Priority Area:** Children's System of Care

**Priority Type:** MHS Population(s): SED

#### Goal of the priority area:

Build a family and youth involvement and leadership structure that will facilitate the family and youth voice and choice at every level of service planning, development, delivery, and evaluation

# Strategies to attain the goal:

- \* Partner with NAMI AR to develop youth and family capacity and hire Liaisons
- \* Partner with UALR/MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training/University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Social Work To provide funding to build capacity in workforce development, continuing education, resource development, and technical assistance to professionals and family members.

# -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:	
ndicator:	Number of Support Groups Held (Through NAMI AR)
Baseline Measurement:	4
First-year target/outcome measurement:	6
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	10
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:	
NAMI AR	
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
	Idren's System of Care grant. DBHS has a sub grant with NAMI Arkansas to provide funds to one group meet monthly in each of 14 sites.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas	sures:
The challenge has been in finding individual members who complete the NAMI support g	s who are consistently able to lead support groups as the leaders must be legacy family group trainings and be unpaid volunteers.
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
	_
First Year Target: Achiev  Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:
First Year Target: Achiev  Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:
First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics with the second s	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:
First Year Target:  Achiev  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics  How first year target was achieved (optional):  Indicator #:	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:
First Year Target:  Achiev  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics with the properties of the propertie	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:
First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characters was achieved (optional):  Indicator #:  Indicator:  Baseline Measurement:	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  2  Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH
First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics with the property of the property	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  2  Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH  426
First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characters was achieved (optional):  Indicator #:  Indicator:  Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  Inges proposed to meet target:  2  Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH  426  356  400
First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characters was achieved (optional):  Indicator #:  Indicator:  Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  Inges proposed to meet target:  2  Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH  426  356  400
First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics and characteristics.  How first year target was achieved (optional):  Indicator #:  Indicator:  Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  Inges proposed to meet target:  2  Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH  426  356  400
First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics year target was achieved (optional):  Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source:  UALR/MidSOUTH	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  Inges proposed to meet target:  2  Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH  426  356  400
First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics year target was achieved (optional):  Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: UALR/MidSOUTH  New Data Source(if needed):	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  Inges proposed to meet target:  2  Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH  426  356  400
Reason why target was not achieved, and character was achieved (optional):  Indicator #:  Indicator:  Baseline Measurement:  First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurement:  UALR/MidSOUTH  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  Each year the Children's System of Care grant	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  anges proposed to meet target:  2  Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH  426  356  400  ent(if needed):  It trainings have been made available to mental health staff and families. During SFY 2016, abers were trained in Team Up for Your Child. Each year different subjects directly related to

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcom	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	pal Attainment
First Year Target:	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	nanges proposed to meet target:
system changes. It is this hesitancy that led Partner and Youth Support Specialist traini has since been disbursed to garner more e	kansas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the to providers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support ngs until the transformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information on thusiasm for the trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support expect that since the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the increase in the years to come.
How first year target was achieved (optional	D:
	2
Indicator #:	3  Number of Youth and Family Affiliate Liaisons Hired
Baseline Measurement:	9 Youth and 5 Family Liaisons Hired
Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurer	•
Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurer	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired
Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurer  Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired
First-year target/outcome measurement:  Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurer Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired
Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurer  Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired  ment(if needed):  community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of
Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurer Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  Family and youth liaisons work within their Care and encourage their participation in Systems	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired  ment(if needed):  community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of
Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurer Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data: Family and youth liaisons work within their Care and encourage their participation in Systems  New Description of Data:(if needed)	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired  ment(if needed):  community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of ystem of Care activities.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurer Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  Family and youth liaisons work within their Care and encourage their participation in S  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurer	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired  ment(if needed):  community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of ystem of Care activities.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurer Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  Family and youth liaisons work within their Care and encourage their participation in Simulation of Data:  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurer  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurer  New Description of Data:(if needed)	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired  ment(if needed):  community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of ystem of Care activities.  d a desire to help others with similar backgrounds.
Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurer Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data: Family and youth liaisons work within their Care and encourage their participation in Systems  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurer  All liaisons must have lived experiences and	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired  ment(if needed):  community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of ystem of Care activities.  asures: d a desire to help others with similar backgrounds.  the measures:
Second-year target/outcome measurement:  New Second-year target/outcome measurer Data Source:  Mid-South Health Systems  New Data Source(if needed):  Description of Data:  Family and youth liaisons work within their Care and encourage their participation in System Description of Data:  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurer  All liaisons must have lived experiences and	14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired  ment(if needed):  community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of ystem of Care activities.  asures: d a desire to help others with similar backgrounds.  the measures:  coal Attainment

Priority #: 5

**Priority Area:** Consumer Affairs

**Priority Type:** SAT, MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED, PWWDC, PP, PWID, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, LGBTQ, Rural, Criminal/Juvenile Justice, Persons with

# Goal of the priority area:

To assist and educate identified populations throughout the State of Arkansas in navigating the various social and behavioral health systems to access services

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

The Office of Community Affairs (OCA) will maintain a database regarding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services available in primary counties of service.

OCA will build relationships with community organizations, providers and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with obtaining access to services.

Indicator #:	1	
Indicator:	OCA receives calls regarding lack of access to services	
Baseline Measurement:	Average number of calls is 50 per month.	
First-year target/outcome measuremen	oca will decrease the number of calls regarding a lack of access to services by	3%
Second-year target/outcome measuren	nent: OCA will decrease the number of calls regarding a lack of access to services by	5%
New Second-year target/outcome mea	surement( <i>if needed</i> ):	
Data Source:		
Monthly call log database		
New Data Source(if needed):		
Description of Data:		
The Office of Consumer Affairs and the caller and provide caller with an outco	e Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services staff receive calls; identify neo ome.	ed of the
New Description of Data:(if needed)		
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:	
New Data issues/caveats that affect ou	tcome measures:	
Ten Data 155ac5, caveat5 that affect ou		
	d Goal Attainment	
Report of Progress Toward	Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
Report of Progress Toward	Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	

Priority #: 6

**Priority Area:** Alcohol Use Among Youth, Adults and the Military

**Priority Type:** SAP

**Population(s):** PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)

#### Goal of the priority area:

Reduce use of alcohol drinking among persons under 21, adults and the military.

- Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies: information dissemination, education/training community-based, problem identification and referral.
- Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.
- Increase leadership and advocacy training for youth.
- Increase training about prevention to physicians and other healthcare providers for a greater understanding of science of addiction and prescription drug issues related to over prescribing.
- Increase drug education and services to college age youth.
- Increase survey participation on college campuses.
- •Increase public awareness of substance abuse and misuse.

#### -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of students surveyed who reported that they had drank alcohol in the past 30

days.

**Baseline Measurement:** 12%

**First-year target/outcome measurement:** Lower reported 30-day alcohol usage by 2%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Lower reported 30-day alcohol usage by 3%

#### New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

#### **Data Source:**

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

#### New Description of Data: (if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

irst Year Target: 🔽 Achiev	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	
Indicator #:	2
ndicator:	The population served and reported in the Arkansas Prevention WITS by CSAP Strategies
Baseline Measurement:	1,122,046
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of population served by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of population served by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Surv certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System	rey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training n
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
	(APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training
	This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance
Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full func areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
mental health and treatment data. WITS sati	a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies.
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
nepoli oli louless lowalu GO:	ai Accaninicit
First Year Target: Achiev	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)

Indicator #:	3					
Indicator:	Number of completed on-line trainings for Center for Prevention and Training for Militar					
Baseline Measurement:	asurement: 0					
-year target/outcome measurement: Increase number of completed on-line trainings by 2%						
Second-year target/outcome measurement	: Increase number of completed on-line trainings by 3%					
New Second-year target/outcome measure	ment(if needed):					
Data Source:						
State Epidemiological Outcome Workgrou	p (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System					
New Data Source(if needed):						
Description of Data:						
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	y veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training					
State Epidemiological Outcome Workgrou	p: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both					
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fur areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the p	of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance inctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service revention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate lan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be					
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fur areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the put thorough collection of data required bused for subsequent evaluation, assessment	of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance inctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service revention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate lan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be					
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fur areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the put thorough collection of data required bused for subsequent evaluation, assessment.	of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance inctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service revention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate lan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be nt and planning activities.					
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fur areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the put thorough collection of data required bused for subsequent evaluation, assessment.  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning and the alth and treatment data. WITS satisfies abuses.	of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance inctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service revention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate lan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be not and planning activities.  Peasures:  - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, attisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and					
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fur areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the put thorough collection of data required bused for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the provided provide	of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance inctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service revention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate lan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be not and planning activities.  Peasures:  - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and attegies.					
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fur areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the put thorough collection of data required bused for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the country of the part of the p	of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance on the profile is to provide state policy-makers within the state and its regions or service revention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate lan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be not and planning activities.  Peasures:  - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, attisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and attegies.  The measures:					
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fur areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the put thorough collection of data required bused for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the country of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfactoring of prevention programs. The supprotective factors along with the CSAP strains.	of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance on the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance on the provide prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be not and planning activities.  Peasures:  - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, atisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and attegies.  The measures:  DOAL Attainment					
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fur areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the put thorough collection of data required bused for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the county of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are suses the WITS reporting systemmental health and treatment data. WITS samonitoring of prevention programs. The syprotective factors along with the CSAP strain New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance inctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service revention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate lan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be not and planning activities.  Peasures:  - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, attisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and attegies.  The measures:  Oal Attainment  Even Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)					

Priority #: 7

**Priority Area:** Tobacco Use among the Youth, Adults and the Military

**Priority Type:** SAP

**Population(s):** PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)

## Goal of the priority area:

Reduction of cigarette use among the youth, Adults and the Military.

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

- Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies to promote information dissemination, education/training, alternatives, environmental, community-based, problem identification and referral
- Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.
- Expand youth efforts for leadership and advocacy by increasing the knowledge and skills involved in prevention and community mobilization so that youth will become recognized advocates for themselves and their peers.

#### -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of students surveyed in APNA 2014 who reported smoking cigarettes in the past 30

days.

**Baseline Measurement:** 6%

First-year target/outcome measurement: Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 2%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 3%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

#### **Data Source:**

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th 8th, 10th, and 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF).

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

#### New Description of Data: (if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

# Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: 
Achieved 
Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)

low first year target was achieved (optional,	):
ndicator #:	2
Indicator:	The population served and reported in the WITS data system by CSAP Strategies.
Baseline Measurement:	1,122,046
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	
Data Source:	ientty necucus.
Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (AP certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System	NA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training m
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
(ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th	(APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance
abuse prevention.	
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by	This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance state agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's
Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF).	
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the pla	ctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate an. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	asures:
mental health and treatment data. WITS sat	a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, isfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and stem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies.
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
D (D T 10	al Attainment
Vanart at Pragrace Laward (-a	ימו הננמוווווכוונ
	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved explain why)
Report of Progress Toward Go  First Year Target:  Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	

Indicator #: Indicator: Number of completed on-line training for Center for Prevention and Training for Military **Baseline Measurement:** First-year target/outcome measurement: Increase number of on-line trainings completed by 2% Second-year target/outcome measurement: Increase number of on-line trainings completed by 3% New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates. State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities. New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment **✓** Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Lower the Usage Rate for Prescription Drug Usage **Priority Area:** 

Priority #:

**Priority Type:** SAP

Population(s): PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)

#### Goal of the priority area:

Reduce misuse of prescription drugs among Youth, Adults and the Military.

- Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies: information dissemination, education/training community-based, problem identification and referral.
- Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.
- Increase leadership and advocacy training for youth.
- Increase training about prevention to physicians and other healthcare providers for a greater understanding of science of addiction and prescription drug issues related to over prescribing.
- Increase drug education and services to college age youth.
- Increase survey participation on college campuses.
- •Increase public awareness of substance abuse and misuse.

#### -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of students surveyed in APNA 2014 who reported using prescription drugs use in

the past 30 days.

**Baseline Measurement:** 3.2%

**First-year target/outcome measurement:** Lower reported 30-day prescription drug usage by 2%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Lower reported 30-day prescription drug usage by 3%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

#### **Data Source:**

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

#### New Description of Data: (if needed)

## Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

	eved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
inst real ranget.	
Reason why target was not achieved, and cl	
not achieve its goal in year one due to an o	r of students who self-reported using prescription drugs in the past 30 days. Arkansas did organization al change within the Substance Abuse Unit in the Division. In shifting focus for ditional discretionary grant fuds to focus efforts its efforts on prescription drug use and
How first year target was achieved (optional	D:
ndicator #:	2
ndicator:	The population served and reported in the Arkansas Prevention WITS System by CSAP Strategies.
Baseline Measurement:	1,122,046
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the population served by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the population served by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurer	ment(if needed):
Data Source:	
certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS Syste	vey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training
Non-Bata Comma (Comma de A)	
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:  The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessmen	t (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs n & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance
Description of Data:  The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessmen: (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th abuse prevention.	
The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th abuse prevention.  Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.  State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup	n & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance
(ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th abuse prevention.  Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.  State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fun areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the plant abuse of the plant area.	n & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training of this report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance ctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate an. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid y the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be
The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th abuse prevention.  Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.  State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fundareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the plubut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment.	n & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training of this report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance ctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate an. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid y the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be
The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th abuse prevention.  Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.  State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fundareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Printerventions/activities according to the plubut thorough collection of data required by	to veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training  by: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance cotionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate an. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be not and planning activities

# Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

Indicator #:	3
Indicator:	Number of completed on-line training for Center for Prevention and Training for Military
Baseline Measurement:	0%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the number of completed online trainings by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the number of completed online trainings by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:	
State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup	(SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	reteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.	the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance
abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the pla	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be
abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be
abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities.
abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment  New Description of Data:(if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean arkansas uses the WITS reporting system — a mental health and treatment data. WITS sati	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities.  Sures:  I web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and
abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functoria areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Presenterventions/activities according to the plate but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the provided	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities.  Sures:  In web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and term captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and regies.
abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functor areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Presenter interventions/activities according to the plate but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satimonitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strates.  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome.	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate  n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities.  Sures:  In web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, affies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and teem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and regies.  The measures:
Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functoria areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Presenter interventions/activities according to the plate but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the provided pro	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities.  Sures:  I web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, offices mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and regies.  Measures:  All Attainment
abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment New Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strates.  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are provided in the CSAP strates.  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are provided in the CSAP strates.	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate  n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities.  Sures:  I web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and regies.  The measures:  All Attainment  Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.  Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functor areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Presenter interventions/activities according to the plate but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the Description of Data: (if needed)  Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satis monitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strate.  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satis monitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strate.  New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satis monitoring of prevention programs. The system Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satis monitoring of prevention programs. The system Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satis monitoring of prevention programs. The system Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satis monitoring of prevention programs. The system Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satis monitoring of prevention programs. The system Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data. WITS satis monitoring of prevention programs. The system Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are mental health and treatment data.	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate  In Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities.  Sures:  In web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, safies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and regies.  In measures:  In Attainment  In Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)  In anges proposed to meet target:

#### MHBG Table 2A (URS Table 7) - MHBG State Agency Expenditure Report

This table describes expenditures for public mental health services provided or funded by the State mental health agency by source of funding. Include ONLY funds expended by the executive branch agency administering the MH Block Grant.

Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.)	A. SA Block Grant	B. MH Block Grant	C. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local)	D. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.)	E. State Funds	F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	G. Other
Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment							
a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children							
b. All Other							
2. Primary Prevention*		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. Evidence-Based Practices for Early Serious Mental Illness**		\$419,928	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. Tuberculosis Services							
5. HIV Early Intervention Services							
6. State Hospital			\$4,278,016	\$2,315,393	\$40,006,517	\$1,080,943	\$992,682
7. Other 24 Hour Care		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33,767,600	\$0	\$0
8. Ambulatory/Community Non- 24 Hour Care		\$3,787,785	\$0	\$1,806,786	\$28,034,643	\$0	\$0
9. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level)		\$165,150	\$0	\$0	\$1,224,621	\$0	\$0
10. Total	\$0	\$4,372,863	\$4,278,016	\$4,122,179	\$103,033,381	\$1,080,943	\$992,682

<sup>\*</sup>States may only use MHBG funds to provide primary prevention services to the priority populations of adults with serious mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance

Actual	C Estimated	
0930-0168 App	proved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020	
Footnotes:		

Please indicate the expenditures are actual or estimated.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Column 3B is for expenditures related to ESMI including First Episode Psychosis programs funded through MHBG set-aside. These funds are not to be also counted in #8 Ambulatory/Community Non 24-Hour Care.

MHBG Table 2B (URS Table 7A) - MHBG State Agency Early Serious Mental Illness and First Episode Psychosis Expenditures Report

Source of Funds							
Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.)	A. Mental Health Block Grant	B. Medicaid (Federal State & Local)	C. Other Federal Funds (e.g. ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare), SAMHSA, etc.)	D. State Funds	E. Local funds (excluding local Medicaid)	F. Other	
1. CSC-Evidences-Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis*	\$419,928	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Training for CSC Practices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Planning for CSC Practices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
2. Other Early Serious Mental Illnesses programs (other than FEP or partial CSC programs)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3. Training for ESMI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4. Planning for ESMI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Total	\$419,928	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

<sup>\*\*</sup>When reporting CSC-Evidences-Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis, report only those programs that are providing all the components of a CSC model. If the state uses certain components of a CSC model, please report them in 'Other Early Serious Mental Illness program (other than FEP or partial CSC programs)'.

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020
Footnotes:
1 oothotes.

# MHBG Table 3 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

Statewide Expenditures for Children's Mental Health Services									
Actual SFY 1994	Actual SFY 2017	Estimated/Actual SFY 2018							
\$2,955,792	\$6,169,669	\$7,008,968							

States and jurisdictions are required not to spend less than the amount expended in FY 1994. 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

# MHBG Table 4 (URS Table 8) - Profile Of Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures for Non-Direct Service Activities

This table is used to describe the use of MHBG funds for non-direct service activities that are sponsored, or conducted, by the State Mental Health Authority

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Activity	Estimated Total of Block Grant			
1. Information Systems	\$			
2. Infrastructure Support	\$			
3. Partnerships, Community Outreach and Needs Assessment	\$			
4. Planning Council Activities	\$25,000			
5. Quality Assurance and Improvement	\$			
6. Research and Evaluation	\$			
7. Training and Education	\$140,150			
Total Non-Direct Services	\$165,150			
Comments on Data:				

**Footnotes:** 

#### MHBG Table 5 (URS Table 10) - Profiles of Agencies Receiving Block Grant Funds Directly from the State MHA

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

								Amount of MH Block Grant Allocation to Agency				
Entity Number	Area Served (Statewide or Sub- State Planning Area)	Provider/Program Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip	Total Block Grant Funds	Adults with Serious Mental Illness	Children with Serious Emotional Disturbance	Set-aside for ESMI/FEP Programs		
1	Sub-State Planning Area	Community Counseling Services (Ouachita Regional)	125 Dons Way	Hot Springs	AR	71923	\$283,606.00	\$190,021.00	\$65,224.00			
2	Sub-State Planning Area	Counseling Associates	350 Salem, Suite 1	Conway	AR	72032	\$340,408.00	\$198,278.00	\$108,089.00			
3	Sub-State Planning Area	Counseling Clinic	307 East Sevier Street	Benton	AR	72015	\$203,763.00	\$137,349.00	\$46,038.00			
4	Sub-State Planning Area	Delta Counseling Associates	790 Roberts Drive	Monticello	AR	71655	\$179,163.00	\$128,569.00	\$32,678.00			
14	Statewide Planning Area	GAIN Inc	712 W. 3rd Street STE 100	Little Rock	AR	72201	\$8,432.00	\$8,432.00	\$0.00			
5	Sub-State Planning Area	Health Resources of Arkansas/Preferred Family Healthcare, Inc.	25 Gap Road	Batesville	AR	72503	\$479,979.00	\$310,914.00	\$121,067.00			
6	Sub-State Planning Area	Little Rock CMHC	4400 Shuffield Drive	Little Rock	AR	72205	\$312,422.00	\$200,187.00	\$80,993.00			
7	Sub-State Planning Area	Mid-South Health Systems	2707 Browns Lane	Jonesboro	AR	72401	\$488,424.00	\$268,341.00	\$171,241.00			
8	Sub-State Planning Area	Ozark Guidance Center	2400 South 48th Street	Springdale	AR	72766	\$626,737.00	\$348,213.00	\$215,850.00			
9	Sub-State Planning Area	Professional Counseling Associates	3601 Richards Road	North Little Rock	AR	72117	\$360,321.00	\$207,277.00	\$117,012.00			
10	Sub-State Planning Area	South Arkansas Regional Health Center	715 North College	El Dorado	AR	71730	\$184,649.00	\$122,801.00	\$43,383.00			
11	Sub-State Planning Area	Southeast Arkansas Behavioral Healthcare System	2500 Rike Drive	Pine Bluff	AR	71613	\$208,837.00	\$131,648.00	\$56,305.00			
12	Sub-State Planning Area	Southwest Arkansas Counseling & Mental Health Center	2904 Arkansas Boulevard	Texarkana	AR	71854	\$190,340.00	\$123,055.00	\$48,251.00			
13	Sub-State Planning Area	Western Arkansas Counseling & Guidance Center	3111 South 70th Street	Fort Smith	AR	72917	\$340,630.00	\$200,767.00	\$105,800.00			
Total							\$4,207,711.00	\$2,575,852.00	\$1,211,931.00	\$0.00		

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020								
	Footnotes:							

# MHBG Table 6 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

	Total Expenditures for SMI	1A
Period	Expenditures	<u>B1(2016) + B2(2017)</u> 2
(A)	(B)	(C)
SFY 2016 (1)	\$64,079,659	
SFY 2017 (2)	\$64,195,128	\$64,137,394
SFY 2018 (3)	\$61,802,243	
	lumn B "actual" expenditures for the State fisc	cal years involved?
SFY 2017 Yes <u>X</u>	No	
SFY 2018 Yes <b>X</b>	No	
If estimated expenditures are provided, plea	se indicate when actual expenditure data will	be submitted to SAMHSA:
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/	30/2020	
Footnotes:		

# MHBG Table 7 (URS Table 1) - Profile of the State Population by Diagnosis

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

	Current Report Year	Three Years Forward
Adults with Serious Illness (SMI)		
Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)		
Note: This Table will be completed for the States by CMHS. 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020		
Footnotes:		

#### MHBG Table 8A and MHBG Table 8B (URS Tables 2A and 2B) - Profile of Persons Served, All Programs by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

This table provides an aggregate profile of persons in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client receiving services in programs provided or funded by the state mental health agency. The client profile takes into account all institutional and community services for all such programs. Please provide unduplicated counts if possible.

Table 8A

Are these numbers

unduplicated?

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018 Total American Indian or Asian Black or African Native Hawaiian or White More Than One Race Race Not Available Hispanic \* use only if Alaska Native American Other Pacific Islander data for MHBG Table Reported 8B are not available Female Male Female | Male Female Male Female Male Female | Male Female Male Not Total Not Not Female Male Not Not Female Male Not Not Not Female Male Not Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available 0-12 5,253 13,475 1,493 2,985 4.490 1,310 1,967 8,210 years 13-17 4,929 4.952 9.911 2,994 2.970 1.072 years 18-20 1,904 1,637 3,579 1,186 years 21-24 2,292 1,951 1,487 1,119 4,247 years 25-44 13.443 11.108 10 24.561 2.592 2.452 9.088 6.936 1.565 1.579 years 45-64 10.799 7.156 17.960 2.286 1.606 7,377 4.684 1.012 years 65-74 1,074 1,506 2,248 years 75+ years Not Available Total 40,542 21,756 35.955 76,597 7,512 7.478 26,487 5,798 6,054 Pregnant Women

**Duplicated**: Among Community Programs

Printed: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168	Approved: 06/07/2017	Expires: 06/30/2020	

and Community

Other: describe

Unduplicated

Duplicated between children

✓

and adults

Duplicated: between Hospitals

Comments on Data (for Age):	
Comments on Data (for Gender):	
Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):	
Comments on Data (Overall):	

	Not Hispanic or Latino Hispanic or Latino Hispanic or Latino Origin Not Available			Total									
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
0-12 years	4,107	6,443	12	452	707	0	694	1,060	0	5,253	8,210	12	13,475
13-17 years	3,947	4,126	9	373	348	0	609	478	21	4,929	4,952	30	9,911
18-20 years	1,606	1,316	6	81	96	0	217	225	32	1,904	1,637	38	3,579
21-24 years	1,997	1,621	2	71	76	0	224	254	2	2,292	1,951	4	4,247
25-44 years	12,067	9,746	6	295	241	1	1,081	1,121	3	13,443	11,108	10	24,561
45-64 years	9,933	6,496	3	139	88	0	727	572	2	10,799	7,156	5	17,960
65-74 years	1,395	685	1	11	8	0	100	48	0	1,506	741	1	2,248
75+ years	382	167	0	1	3	0	33	30	0	416	200	0	616
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	35,434	30,600	39	1,423	1,567	1	3,685	3,788	60	40,542	35,955	100	76,597
Pregnant Women	0			0			0			0	0	0	0

Comments on Data (for Age):		
Comments on Data (for Gender):		
Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):		
Comments on Data (Overall):		
930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/	2020	

# MHBG Table 9 (URS Table 3) - Profile of Persons served in the Community Mental Health Settings, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Service Setting	Service Setting Age 0-17			Age 18-2	0		Age 21-6	4		Age 65+		Age	Not Ava	ilable		T	otal		
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
Community Mental Health Programs	10,167	13,076	42	1,900	1,601	38	26,431	19,846	19	1,919	925	1	0	0	0	40,417	35,448	100	75,965
State Psychiatric Hospitals	15	86	0	4	36	0	103	369	0	3	16	0	0	0	0	125	507	0	632
Other Psychiatric Inpatient	4	21	0	13	20	1	146	253	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	165	296	1	462
Residential Treatment Centers	73	86	0	1	0	0	25	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	147	0	246

Comments on Data (for Age):

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:

## MHBG Table 10A and MHBG Table 10B (URS Tables 5A and 5B) - Profile of Clients by Type of Funding Support

Table 10A

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

This table provides a summary of clients by Medicaid coverage. Since the focus of the reporting is on clients of the public mental health service delivery system, this table focuses on the clientele serviced by public programs that are funded or operated by the State Mental Health Authority. Persons are to be counted in the Medicaid row if they received a service reimbursable through Medicaid.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Experialiture Feriou Start Date. 1/1/20				1/2017	·														More Than One Race Not Available									
		Tot	al		Ameri or Ala				Asian			or Afr merica		Native or Ot Is		cific		White		Hisp only MHBG are no	Tabl	a for le 10B		e Than e Repo		Race N	Not Ava	ilable
	Female	Male	Not Avail	Total	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail
Medicaid (only Medicaid)	19,518	17,565	19	37,102	82	67	0	39	41	0	4,117	4,204	4	16	14	0	12,081	9,981	12	0	0	0	301	307	1	2,882	2,951	2
Non- Medicaid Sources (only)	19,159	16,573	18	35,750	68	48	0	75	52	0	3,053	2,881	3	13	20	0	13,305	10,901	11	0	0	0	132	105	0	2,513	2,566	4
People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medicaid Status Not Available	1,865	1,817	63	3,745	3	4	0	9	5	0	342	393	3	2	3	0	1,101	874	1	0	0	0	5	1	0	403	537	59
Total Served	40,542	35,955	100	76,597	153	119	0	123	98	0	7,512	7,478	10	31	37	0	26,487	21,756	24	0	0	0	438	413	1	5,798	6,054	65
	•	'		▼ D	ata Base	ed on I	Medic	aid Serv	ices	-		ata Bas	ed on	Medica	ıl Eligi	bility,	not Med	dicaid Pa	id Ser	vices		'Peor	ole Serv	ed By	Both'	include	es peop	le with
Comment See Gene			e):																									
Comment	s on Data	(for Ge	nder):																									
Comment	s on Data	(Overal	):																									

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Not Available.

If a state is unable to unduplicate between people whose care is paid for by Medicaid only or Medicaid and other funds, then all data should be reported into the 'People Served by Both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid Sources' and the 'People Served by Both includes people with any Medicaid' check box should be checked.

#### Table 10B

Of the total persons covered by Medicaid, please indicate the gender and number of persons who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. Total persons covered by Medicaid would be the total indicated in Table 10A.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	Not Hispanic or Latino			Hisp	oanic or La	tino		ic or Latino Unknown			То		
	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Total
Medicaid Only	16,900	14,825	16	932	1,077	0	1,686	1,663	3	19,518	17,565	19	37,102
Non- Medicaid Only	17,031	14,418	13	423	434	1	1,705	1,721	4	19,159	16,573	18	35,750
People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medicaid Status Unknown	1,503	1,357	10	68	56	0	294	404	53	1,865	1,817	63	3,745
Total Served	35,434	30,600	39	1,423	1,567	1	3,685	3,788	60	40,542	35,955	100	76,597

Comments on Data (for Ethnicity):

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

See General Notes

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Unknown.

Footnotes:			

# MHBG Table 11 (URS Table 6) - Profile of Client Turnover

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Profile of Service Utilization	Total Served at Beginning of Year (unduplicated)	Admissions During the year (duplicated)	Discharges During the year (duplicated)	Length o Days): Di Pati	scharged	For Clients for 1 Yea Average I Stay (in Residents ye	r or Less: Length of Days): at end of	More Tha Average I Stay (in	at end of
				Average (Mean)	Median	Average (Mean)	Median	Average (Mean)	Median
State Hospitals	206	501	498	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	17	30	25	194	198	123	98	419	397
Adults (18 yrs and over)	189	471	473	160	73	93	62	708	553
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Psychiactric Inpatient	138	1,462	1,398	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adults (18 yrs and over)	138	1,462	1,398	15	8	15	8	0	0
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential Tx Centers	163	402	345	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	163	402	345	71	61	71	61	0	0
Adults (18 yrs and over)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Community Programs</b>	45,088	24,630	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	14,574	7,635							
Adults (18 yrs and over)	30,514	16,995							
Age Not Available	0	0							

Comments on Data (State Hospital):

Comments on Data (Other Inpatient):

Comments on Data (Residential Treatment):

Comments on Data (Community Programs):

Comments on Data (Overall):

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:

# MHBG Table 12 (URS Table 12) - State Mental Health Agency Profile

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

#### **Populations Served**

Which of the following populations receive services operated or funded by the state mental health agency? Please indicate if they are included

		Populati	ons Covered:	Included i	n Data					
		Populati	ons Covereu.	mciudeu ii	ii Data					
		State Hospitals	Community Programs	State Hospitals	Community Programs					
1. Age	ed 0 to 3	☐ Yes	<b>▼</b> Yes	☐ Yes	<b>▼</b> Yes					
2. Ag	ed 4 to 17	<b>▼</b> Yes	<b>▼</b> Yes	▼ Yes	✓ Yes					
3. Adı	ults Aged 18 and over	<b>▼</b> Yes	<b>▼</b> Yes	▼ Yes	✓ Yes					
4. For	ensics	<b>▼</b> Yes	<b>▼</b> Yes	▼ Yes	✓ Yes					
Comn	nents on Data:	,								
•	Do all of the adults and child serious emotional disturbance		e mental health agency mee	t the Federal definitions of s	erious mental illness and					
.a. .a.1.	Serious Mental Illness Serious Emotional Disturbances  If no, please indicate the percentage of persons served for the reporting period who met the federal definitions of serious mental illness and serious emotional disturbance?  Percent of adults meeting Federal definition of SMI:  62.2 %									
a.2.	Percentage of children/adole	scents meeting Federal defir	nition of SED:	63.9 %						
	Co-Occurring Mental Health	and Substance Abuse:								
a.	What percentage of persons	served by the SMHA for the	reporting period have a dua	l diagnosis of mental illness	and substance abuse?					
a.1.	Percentage of adults served I	by the SMHA who also have a	a diagnosis of substance abu	ise problem:	14.1 %					
a.2.	Percentage of children/adole	scents served by the SMHA	who also have a diagnosis of	substance abuse problem:	2.1 %					
b.	What percentage of persons SED have a dual diagnosis of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		initions of adults with SMI a	nd children/adolescents					
b.1.	Percentage of adults meeting	g Federal definition of SMI w	ho also have a diagnosis of	substance abuse problem:	14.5 %					
b.2.	. Percentage of children/adolescents meeting the Federal definition of SED who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problem:									
.b.3.	Please describe how you calc the number of persons with o disorders.									
l.	State Mental Health Agency	Responsibilities								
	a. Medicaid: Does the State N Medicaid? (Check All that App		ny of the following responsil	bilities for mental health serv	rices provided through					

1. State Medicaid Operating Agency

2. Setting Standards

	3. Quality Improvement/Program Compliance			
	4. Resolving Consumer Complaints			
	5. Licensing 6. Sanctions	<u>~</u>		
	7. Other			
	b. Managed Care (Mental Health Managed Care)			Are Data for these
				programs reported on URS Tables?
4.b.1	Does the State have a Medicaid Managed Care initia	tive?	Yes	Yes
4.b.2	Does the State Mental Health Agency have any responsible through Medicaid Managed Care?	onsibilities for mental health services provided	Yes	
-	please check the responsibilities the SMHA has:		_	
4.b.3	Direct contractual responsibility and oversight of the	e MCOs or BHOs	Yes	
4.b.4	Setting Standards for mental health services		Yes	
4.b.5	Coordination with state health and Medicaid agenci	es	Yes	
4.b.6	Resolving mental health consumer complaints		Yes	
4.b.7	Input in contract development		Yes	
4.b.8	Performance monitoring		Yes	
4.b.9	Other			
5.	Data Reporting: Please describe the extent to which different parts of your mental health system. Please			
	across your entire mental health system.			
	Are the data reporting in the tables?			
5.a.	<b>Unduplicated:</b> counted once even if they were served	d in both State hospitals and community progra	ams and if they were serv	ed in
	community mental health agencies responsible for d			_
5.b.	<b>Duplicated:</b> across state hospital and community pro	grams		
5.c. 5.d.	<b>Duplicated:</b> within community programs <b>Duplicated:</b> Between Child and Adult Agencies			
5.e.	Plans for Unduplication: If you are not currently able	e to provide unduplicated client counts across a	all parts of your mental h	ealth
	system, please describe your plans to get unduplicat	ted client counts by the end of your Data Infras	tructure Grant.	
6.	Summary Administrative Data			
6.a.	Report Year:	2017		
6.b.	State Identifier:	AR		
6.c.	Summary Information on Data Submitted by SMHA: Year being reported:	7/1/2017 12:00:00 AM to 6/30/2018 12:00:00 A	·М	
6.d.	Person Responsible for Submission:	Eric Tedford		
6.e.	Contact Phone Number:	501-686-9037		
6.f.	Contact Address:	305 S. Palm Little Rock, AR 72205		
6.g.	E-mail:	eric.tedford@dhs.arkansas.gov		
0930-0	0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020	- -		
Foo	tnotes:			

## **D. Population and Services Report**

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017

Total

#### MHBG Tables 13A and 13B (URS Tables 14A and 14B) - Profile of Persons with SMI/SED Served By Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Asian

Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

0

0

0

0

71 64

0

0

Ω

American Indian or

Alaska Native

#### Table 13A

75+

years Not

Available Pregnant

Women Total

216

25.331

92

0

22,133

308

Ω

0

0

0

32 47,496

This table requests counts for persons with SMI or SED using the definitions provided by the CMHS. URS Table's 2A and 2B included all clients served by publicly operated or funded programs. This table counts only clients who meet the CMHS definition of SMI or SED. For many states, this table may be the same as URS Table's 2A and 2B. For 2007, states should report using the Federal Definitions of SMI and SED if they can report them, if not, please report using your state's definitions of SMI and SED and provide information below describing your state's definition.

Black or African

American

45 22

5,295

5,363

0

0

0

data for MHBG Table 13b are not available Female Male Total Female Male Not Female Male Not Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Not Female Male Not Not Not Not Not Not Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available 0-12 3.171 5.427 8.604 27 0 545 1,084 0 1,908 3.072 0 68 117 0 631 1.113 years 13-17 3.191 6.283 12 500 698 1.922 1.948 59 52 587 475 0 0 years 18-20 1,034 895 5 1,934 0 175 223 672 524 0 0 19 159 137 0 0 years 21-24 1,254 1,002 2,260 0 0 257 267 0 819 579 0 0 0 0 154 143 years 25-44 6.427 14.542 25 27 1.734 4.070 30 0 26 1.758 5.526 0 0 45 25 718 542 years 45-64 12,127 7,477 4,646 20 13 0 16 21 0 1,787 1,202 0 5,085 3,070 0 0 29 17 0 533 322 years 65-74 984 453 1,438 0 0 228 133 0 693 289 0 0 0 0 0 0 55 28 years

0

n

0

0

0

0

Native Hawaiian or

Other Pacific Islander

White

158

16,783

60

13.612

0

0

19

0

0

0

0

0

Ω

0

0

231 223

**More Than One Race** 

Reported

Hispanic \* use only if

Race Not Available

12 10

0

0

2,849 2.770

0

Ω

0

Comments on Data (for Age): Comments on Data (for Gender): Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):

21 16

81 85

. State	Definiti	ions	Mate	ch the Federal Definitions		_
•	Yes C	) 1	No	Adults with SMI, if No describe or attach state definition:		
				Diagnoses included in the state SMI definition:		
•	Yes C	1	No	Children with SED, if No describe or attach state definition:	Ô	

#### Table 13B

Comments on Data (Overall):

Of the total persons served, please indicate the age, gender and the number of persons who meet the Federal definition of SMI and SED and who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. The total persons served who meet the Federal definition of SMI or SED should be the total as indicated in Table 14A. Please report the data under the categories listed - "Total" are calculated automatically.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Diagnoses included in the state SED definition:

	Not F	lispanic or l	_atino	Hispanic or Latino			Hispanic or L	Total					
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
0-12 years	2,661	4,447	6	203	392	0	307	588	0	3,171	5,427	6	8,604
13-17 years	2,537	2,739	6	228	208	0	321	244	0	3,086	3,191	6	6,283
18-20 years	905	757	4	33	60	0	96	78	1	1,034	895	5	1,934
21-24 years	1,118	878	2	42	49	0	94	75	2	1,254	1,002	4	2,260
25-44 years	7,456	5,927	4	165	139	1	488	361	1	8,109	6,427	6	14,542
45-64 years	7,007	4,356	3	93	56	0	377	234	1	7,477	4,646	4	12,127
65-74 years	922	431	1	8	5	0	54	17	0	984	453	1	1,438
75+ years	210	85	0	0	2	0	6	5	0	216	92	0	308
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pregnant Women	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	22,816	19,620	26	772	911	1	1,743	1,602	5	25,331	22,133	32	47,496
Comments on Data (for Age)	:												
Comments on Data (for Gend	der):												

Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):		
Comments on Data (Overall):		
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30,	/2020	
Footnotes:		

## **D. Population and Services Report**

MHBG Table 14 (URS Table 15A) - Profile of Persons served in the community mental health setting, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings for Adults with SMI and Children with SED

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Service Setting		Age 0-17	,		Age 18-2	0		Age 21-6	4		Age 65+		Age	Not Avai	ilable		To	otal	
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
Community Mental Health Programs	6,242	8,532	12	1,030	859	5	16,737	11,706	14	1,197	529	1	0	0	0	25,206	21,626	32	46,864
State Psychiatric Hospitals	15	86	0	4	36	0	103	369	0	3	16	0	0	0	0	125	507	0	632
Other Psychiatric Inpatient	4	14	0	4	9	0	52	68	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	61	92	0	153
Residential Treatment Centers	19	34	0	1	0	0	25	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	95	0	140

Comments on Data (for Age):

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

**Note:** Clients can be duplicated between Rows (e.g. The same client may be served in both state psychiatric hospitals and community mental health centers during the same year and thus would be reported in counts for both rows). 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:

#### MHBG Table 15A (URS Table 4) - Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status

This table describes the status of adult clients served in the reporting year by the public mental health system, in terms of employment status. The focus is on employment for the working age population, recognizing, however, there are clients who are disabled, retired, or who are homemakers, care-givers, etc., and not a part of the workforce. These persons should be reported in the "Not in Labor Force" category. Unemployed refers to persons who are looking for work but have not found employment. Data should be reported for clients in non-institutional settings at time of discharge or last evaluation.

Adults Served		18-20			21-64			65+		Ag	e Not Availa	ble			<b>Total</b>	
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Avail	Total
Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment)	298	187	4	4,768	3,656	7	77	53	0	0	0	0	5,143	3,896	11	9,050
Unemployed	432	349	0	9,044	6,365	2	377	126	0	0	0	0	9,853	6,840	2	16,695
Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.)	762	735	0	7,297	5,467	4	1,041	495	0	0	0	0	9,100	6,697	4	15,801
Not Available	408	330	34	5,322	4,358	6	424	251	1	0	0	0	6,154	4,939	41	11,134
Total	1,900	1,601	38	26,431	19,846	19	1,919	925	1	0	0	0	30,250	22,372	58	52,680
How Often Does your State Measure Employment Status?	□ At Ac	dmission $\square$	At Discharge	☐ Monthly	Quarterl	y Vother,	describe: by provider									
What populations are included	· All cl	ients © Onl	y selected gr	oups, descri	be:											
Comments on Data (for Age):																
Comments on Data (for Gender	:															
Comments on Data (Overall):																
930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017	Expires: 06/3	80/2020														
Footnotes:																

## MHBG Table 15B (URS Table 4A) - Optional Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status by Primary Diagnosis Reported

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Clients Primary Diagnosis	Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment)	Unemployed	Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.)	Employment Status Not Available	Total
Schizophrenia & Related Disorders (F20, F25)	278	2,025	3,223	1,149	6,675
Bipolar and Mood Disorders (F30,F31,F32,F33,F34.1,F60.89,F34.0,F32.9)	4,380	8,488	7,537	5,311	25,716
Other Psychoses (F22,F23,F24,F28,F29)	98	456	535	359	1,448
All Other Diagnoses	4,171	5,567	4,352	3,772	17,862
No DX and Deferred DX (R69,R99,Z03.89)	123	159	154	543	979
Diagnosis Total	9,050	16,695	15,801	11,134	52,680

Comments on Data (for Diagnosis):

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:			

**Adult Consumer Survey Results** 

## MHBG Table 16 (URS Table 9) - Social Connectedness and Improved Functioning

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Addit Consum	ar Survey Results	Responses	Responses	(calculated)
1. Social Connectedness		195	396	49%
2. Functioning		217	402	54%
Child/Adolescent Co	nsumer Survey Results	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Percent Positiv (calculated)
3. Social Connectedness		281	360	78%
4. Functioning		268	363	74%
Comments on Data:				
2. Did you use the recommer	nded new Social Connectedness  Indeed new Functioning Domain Control  Indeed new Functioning Domain Consume	Measure used Questions?  • Yes • N  Measure used er Survey?  • Yes • N	i lo	
4. Did you use the recommen	dness and Functioning Measure ded new Social Connectedness aded new Functioning Domain C	Questions? • Yes • N  Measure used  Questions? • Yes • N	do	
6. Did you collect these as par	rt of your YSS-F Survey?	Measure used  Yes O N  If No, what so		
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/20	017 Expires: 06/30/2020			1
Footnotes:				

**Number of Positive** 

Responses

**Percent Positive** 

### MHBG Table 17A (URS Table 11) - Summary Profile of Client Evaluation of Care

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Adult Consumer Survey Results:	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Confidence Interval*
1. Reporting Positively about Access.	322	413	4
2. Reporting Positively about Quality and Appropriateness for Adults.	315	394	4
3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes.	209	380	5
4. Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning.	240	386	5
5. Adults Positively about General Satisfaction with Services.	331	414	4

Child/Adolescent Consumer Survey Results:	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Confidence Interval*
1. Reporting Positively about Access.	316	366	4
2. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction for Children.	296	367	4
3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes for Children.	255	364	5
4. Family Members Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning for their Children.	322	365	3
5. Family Members Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	337	364	3

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data.

* Please report Confidence Intervals at the 95% level. See directions below regarding the calculation of confidence interva	Please report C	onfidence Interv	als at the 95% leve	l. See directions	below regarding	g the calculation o	f confidence interval
---	-----------------	------------------	---------------------	-------------------	-----------------	---------------------	-----------------------

Comments on Data:

#### **Adult Consumer Surveys**

- 1. Was the Official 28 Item MHSIP Adult Outpatient Consumer Survey Used? © Yes
  - 1.a. If no, which version:
    - Original 40 Item Version
       Yes
       21-Item Version
       Yes
       State Variation of MHSIP
       Yes
       Other Consumer Survey
       Yes
  - 1.b. If other, please attach instrument used.

1.c. Did you use any translations of the MHSIP into anothe		1. Spanish 2. Other Language:		
Adult Survey Approach				
2. Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover	all regions of state	e) 1. All Consumers	n State © 2.	Sample of MH Consumers
2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was u		dom Sample		
		atified / Random Stratified S	ample	
		nvenience Sample		
	4. Otr	er Sample:		
2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do	you also survey pe	ersons no longer in service?	▼ 1. Persor	ns Currently Receiving Services
			2. Person	ns No Longer Receiving Services
3. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g.	, all adults, only a	dults with SMI, etc.) 🔽 1.	All Adult Cons	sumers In State
		□ 2	Adults With S	erious Mental Illness
		□ 3.	Adults Who W	/ere Medicaid Eligible Or In Medicaid Managed Care
				mple, if you survey anyone served in the last 3 months, describe that here):
A. Markadala and alleria data? (Charladh tha cant.)				
4. Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply)		Self-Administered	Interview	
	Phone	Yes	Yes	
	Mail	<b>▼</b> Yes		
	Face-to-face	Yes	☐ Yes	
	Web-Based	Yes	☐ Yes	
4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply)	☐ 1. MH Cons	umers		
	2. Family Me	embers		
	☐ 3. Professio	nal Interviewers		
	4. MH Clinic	ians		
	5. Non Direc	t Treatment Staff		
	✓ 6. Other, de	scribe:		
	Vendor			

Printed: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

5. Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to other Patient Databases? 🔽 1. Responses are Anonymous

	2. Responses are Conf	idential			
	☐ 3. Responses are Mato	thed to Client D	atabases		
Sample Size and Response Rate					
6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initiated)?			1,856		
6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone n			1,605		
6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or cal	•		421		
6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys div 6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surveys with		surveys as "con	26.0%	response rates? © Yes • No	
7. Who Conducted the survey					
7.a. SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey done at	t state level)	Yes	○ No		
7.b. Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health provider		© Yes	• No		
(survey was done at the local or regional level)	s conducted of contracted for the survey	· 163	~ 110		
7.c. Other, describe:					
* Report Confidence Intervals at the 95% confidence level					
The confidence level tells you how sure you can be. It is expressed as a perce can be 95% certain; the 99% confidence level means you can be 99% certain. When you put the confidence level and the confidence interval together, you   Child / Family Consumer Surveys  1. Was the MHSIP Children / Family Survey (YSS-F) ✓ Yes	n. Most researchers use the 95% confidence level.	3 . , ,	,	·	he 95% confidence level means yo
Used?	If no, what survey did you use?				
If no, please attach instrument used.					
1.c. Did you use any translations of the Child MHSIP into another lan-	guage? 🔽 1. Spanish				
	2. Other Language:				
Child Survey Approach					
Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover all regions)	ons of state)	e 🖲 2. Samp	le of MH Consumers		
2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was used?	1. Random Sample				
	<ul> <li>2. Stratified / Random Stratified Sample</li> </ul>				
	3. Convenience Sample				
	C 4. Other Sample:				
2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do you also	o survey persons no longer in service?	1. Persons Cur	rently Receiving Services		
		2. Persons No	Longer Receiving Services		
2a. If yes to 2, please describe how your survey persons no lo	nger receiving services.				
3. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g., all chi	ldren, only children with SED, etc.)	All Child Co	insumers In State		

Printed: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

				2. Children	with Serious Emotional Disturb	pances	
				☐ 3. Children	who were Medicaid Eligible or	in Medicaid Managed Care	
				4. Other (for	r example, if you survey anyone	e served in the last 3 months, describe	that here)
1.	Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply)		Self-Administered	Interview			
		Phone	E v.	Yes			
			Yes	res			
		Mail	<b>▼</b> Yes				
		Face-to-face	☐ Yes	☐ Yes			
		Web-Based	☐ Yes	☐ Yes			
	41 MI 1 1 1 1 1 2 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	☐ 1. MH Consi					
	4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply)	2. Family Me					
		☐ 3. Professio					
		4. MH Clinic					
			ct Treatment Staff				
		✓ 6. Other, de					
		Vendor					
			_				
5.	Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to oth	ner Patient Databa					
			2. Responses a				
			□ 3. Responses a	re Matched to Client	Databases		
ŝ.	Sample Size and Response Rate						
	6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initia	ated)?			1,931		
	6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid	phone numbers or	addresses)?		1,679		
	6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned	•			368		
	6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed sur 6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surve			at those surveys as "s	22.0 %	of response rates? C Ves. • No.	
		eys with no respon	ses on them), ala you cour	it tilese sulveys as C	ompleted for the calculation of	irresponse rates: 💛 res 💌 ivo	
7.	Who Conducted the survey			_			
	7.a. SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey	done at state leve	l)	© Yes	○ No		
	7.b. Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health p (survey was done at the local or regional level)	providers conducte	d or contracted for the sur	rvey © Yes	○ No		
	7 c Other describe: Vander						

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020
Footnotes:

MHBG Table 17B (URS Table 11A) - Consumer Evaluation of Care by Consumer Characteristics: (Optional Table by Race/Ethnicity)

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

### **Adult Consumer Survey Results:**

\*State used the 2 question version for Figure 1 No Please check the appropriate box on the left. The "Totals" formula will automatically adjust to account for which method your state used to ask about Hispanic Origin Hispanic Origin/Status

Indicators	T	otal		n Indian or a Native	А	sian		or African erican	Othe	lawaiian or r Pacific ander	W	/hite		han One Reported		er / Not iilable	Hispan	ic Origin <sup>*</sup>
	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses
1. Reporting Positively About Access.	322	413	8	10	0	1	72	83	1	1	228	300	9	13	4	5	10	14
Reporting Positively     About Quality and     Appropriateness.	315	394	8	10	0	1	62	77	0	0	234	289	9	13	2	4	10	14
3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	209	380	4	9	0	1	43	75	0	0	156	279	5	12	1	4	8	12
4. Reporting Positively about Participation in Treatment Planning	240	386	7	9	1	1	48	76	0	1	174	281	6	13	4	5	10	13
5. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction	331	414	9	10	1	1	74	84	1	1	232	300	12	13	2	5	8	14
6. Social Connectedness	195	396	5	9	0	1	51	82	0	0	132	286	5	13	2	5	8	13
7. Functioning	217	402	5	9	0	1	54	81	1	1	151	292	5	13	1	5	7	14

### **Child/Adolescent Family Survey Results:**

\*State used the 2 question version for Hispanic Origin Please check the appropriate box on the left. The "Totals" formula will automatically adjust to account for which method your state used to ask about Hispanic Origin/Status

Indicators	Т	otal		n Indian or a Native	A	sian		or African erican	Othe	lawaiian or r Pacific ander	W	/hite		Than One Reported		r / Not ilable	Hispan	ic Origin <sup>*</sup>
	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses
1. Reporting Positively About Access.	316	366	4	4	2	2	65	75	0	0	217	253	21	24	7	8	31	33

Reporting Positively     About General     Satisfaction	296	367	4	4	2	2	61	76	0	0	203	253	19	24	7	8	29	33
3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	255	364	3	4	2	2	48	73	0	0	181	253	15	24	6	8	26	33
Reporting Positively     Participation in     Treatment Planning for     their Children.	322	365	4	4	2	2	68	75	0	0	218	253	22	23	8	8	29	33
5. Reporting Positively About Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	337	364	4	4	2	2	69	75	0	0	233	251	22	24	7	8	31	33
6. Social Connectedness	281	360	4	4	2	2	63	75	0	0	189	248	17	23	6	8	29	32
7. Functioning	268	363	4	4	2	2	51	73	0	0	189	252	16	24	6	8	27	33

#### Comments on Data:

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data. 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:			

#### MHBG Table 18 (URS Table 15) - Living Situation Profile

Number of Clients in Each Living Situation as Collected by the Most Recent Assessment in the Reporting Period All Mental Health Programs by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity

Please provide unduplicated counts, if possible. This table provides an aggregate profile of persons served in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client's last known Living Situation.

Please report the data under the Living Situation categories listed - "Total" are calculated automatically.

	Private Residence	Foster Home	Residential Care	Crisis Residence	Children's Residential Treatment	Institutional Setting	Jail / Correctional Facility	Homeless / Shelter	Other	NA	Total
0-17	19,267	1,526	243	11	113	21	206	186	161	1,652	23,386
18-64	39,080	210	1,482	62	6	270	842	846	999	6,550	50,347
65+	2,244	6	149	1	0	48	20	15	56	325	2,864
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	60,591	1,742	1,874	74	119	339	1,068	1,047	1,216	8,527	76,597
									•		
Female	32,688	885	812	39	63	125	226	504	622	4,578	40,542
Male	27,868	857	1,062	35	56	213	824	542	594	3,904	35,955
Not Available	35	0	0	0	0	1	18	1	0	45	100
TOTAL	60,591	1,742	1,874	74	119	339	1,068	1,047	1,216	8,527	76,597
American Indian/Alaska Native	215	7	1	1	0	1	5	5	5	32	272
Asian	184	5	4	0	0	2	2	0	1	23	221
Black/African American	12,421	269	583	20	13	117	397	202	249	729	15,000
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	57	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	4	68
White/Caucasian	38,362	1,029	1,128	46	96	194	498	636	814	5,464	48,267
Hispanic *											

More than One Race Reported	753	43	8	0	0	3	5	11	18	11	852
Race/Ethnicity Not Available	8,599	386	150	7	10	21	159	193	128	2,264	11,917
TOTAL	60,591	1,742	1,874	74	119	339	1,068	1,047	1,216	8,527	76,597

	Private Residence	Foster Home	Residential Care	Crisis Residence	Children's Residential Treatment	Institutional Setting	Jail / Correctional Facility	Homeless / Shelter	Other	NA	Total
Hispanic or Latino Origin	2,625	76	17	4	5	6	39	31	37	151	2,991
Non Hispanic or Latino Origin	53,647	1,459	1,775	68	105	328	920	852	1,099	5,820	66,073
Hispanic or Latino Origin Not Available	4,319	207	82	2	9	5	109	164	80	2,556	7,533
TOTAL	60,591	1,742	1,874	74	119	339	1,068	1,047	1,216	8,527	76,597

Comments on Data:	See General Notes						
How Often Does your State Measure Living Situation?	☐ At Admission ☐ At Discharge ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☑ Other:	Describe  Varies by provider					
Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as an Ethnic Origin are not available							

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:			

### MHBG Table 19 (URS Table 16) - Profile of Adults With Serious Mental Illnesses And Children With Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Specific Services

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Age	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)			
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
0-12 years					0	0	0	0
13-17 years					0	0	0	0
18-20 years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21-64 years	0	0	0	0				
65-74 years	0	0	0	0				
75+ years	0	0	0	0				
Not Available	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887
Total	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887

Gender	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)			
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Available	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887

Race/Ethnicity Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses (SMI) Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)

	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
American Indian / Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black / African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hawaiian / Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
More than one race	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Available	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887

Hispanic/Latino Origin	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)			
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
Hispanic / Latino origin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non Hispanic / Latino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Available	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887

	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
Do you monitor fidelity for this service?	C Yes ● No	○ Yes ● No	○ Yes ● No		○ Yes • No	○ Yes • No	○ Yes • No		
IF YES,									
What fidelity measure do you use?									

Who measures fidelity?									
How often is fidelity measured?									
Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	○ Yes ● No	ℂ Yes ● No	C Yes <b>●</b> No		© Yes ● No	○ Yes ● No	© Yes ● No		
Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	• Yes © No	○ Yes ● No	• Yes © No		• Yes © No	○ Yes ● No	● Yes ○ No		
* Hispanic is part of the total C Yes C No served.									
Comments on Data (overall): See General Notes									
Comments on Data (Supported Housing):									
Comments on Data (Supported Employment):									
Comments on Data (Assertive Community Treatment):									
Comments on Data (Theraputic Foster Care):									
Comments on Data (Multi-System Therapy):	mic								
Comments on Data (Family Functional Therapy):									
* Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available									
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017	0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020								
Footnotes:									

MHBG Table 19A (URS Table 16A) - Adults with Serious Mental Illness and Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Evidence-Based Services for First Episode Psychosis

Program Name	Number of Adult Admissions into CSC Services During FY	Current Number of Adults with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Number of Child/Adolescents Admissions into CSC Services During FY	Current number of Children/Adolecents with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Do you moniter fidelity for this service?	What fidelity measure do you use?	Who measures fidelity?	How often is fidelity measured?	Has staff been specifically trained to implement the CSC EBP?
WACGC	16	2	4	0	Yes • No •				Yes C No ©

0930-0	168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020
l = .	
FOOt	notes:

## MHBG Table 20 (URS Table 17) - Profile of Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses Receiving Specific Services during the Year

This table provides a profile of adults with serious mental illness receiving specific evidence-based practices in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available.

	ADULTS WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS							
	Receiving Family Psychoeducation	Receiving Integrated Treatment for Co- occurring Disorders (MH/SA)	Receiving Illness Self Management and Recovery	Receiving Medication Management				
Age								
18-20	0	0	0	0				
21-64	0	0	0	0				
65-74	0	0	0	0				
75+	0	0	0	0				
Not Available	293	2,047	3,034	0				
TOTAL	293	2,047	3,034	0				

Gender				
Female	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	0
Not Available	293	2,047	3,034	0

Race								
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0				
Asian	0	0	0	0				
Black or African American	0	0	0	0				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0				
White	0	0	0	0				
Hispanic *	0	0	0	0				
More Than One Race	0	0	0	0				
Unknown	293	2,047	3,034	0				

## **Hispanic / Latino Origin**

Hispanic / Latino origin	0	0	0	0			
Non Hispanic / Latino	0	0	0	0			
Not Available	293	2,047	3,034	0			
Do you monitor fidelity for this service?	○ Yes • No	C Yes • No	○ Yes • No	○ Yes ○ No			
IF YES,							
What fidelity measure do you use?							
Who measures fidelity?							
How often is fidelity measured?							
Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	C Yes ● No	C Yes • No	○ Yes ● No	○ Yes ○ No			
Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	• Yes O No	• Yes © No	• Yes © No	C Yes C No			
*Hispanic is part of the total served.   Yes   No							
Comments on Data (overall):							
See General Notes							
Comments on Data (Family Psychoeducation):				1			

Comments on Data (Integrated Treatment for Co-occurring Disorders):

Comments on Data (Illness Self Management and Recovery):	
Comments on Data (Medication Management):	
*Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available	
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020	
Footnotes:	

#### MHBG Table 21 (URS Table 19A) - Profile of Criminal Justice or Juvenile Justice Involvement

- 1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for Criminal Justice measures the change in Arrests over time. The DIG Outcomes Workgroup pilot tested 3 consumer self-report items that can be used to provide this information. If your state has used the 3 Consumer self-report items on criminal justice, you may report them here.
- 2. If your SMHA has data on Arrest records from alternatives sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for arrests for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in Arrests from T1 to T2, please use all those columns.
- 3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.
- 4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e.g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specify time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/17 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/18

#### For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

		T1			T2				T1 to T2	Change			Assessment of the Impact of Services						
		or 12 mont an 1 year a	•	"T2" Mo	st Recent 1 (this year)		If Arres	sted at T1 Month	l (Prior 12 s)		ot Arresto ior 12 M		Over the	last 12 m	onths, my e	ncounters wi	th the polic	e have	
	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# Reduced (fewer encounters)	# Stayed the Same	# Increased	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses	
Total	25	416	46	18	419	50	6	16	3	11	393	12	34	27	4	365	57	487	
Total Children/Youth (under age 18)	2	132	25	5	129	25	2	0	0	3	127	2	7	5	0	116	31	159	
Male	1	48	13	1	49	12	1	0	0	0	47	1	1	1	0	45	15	62	
Female	1	84	12	4	80	13	1	0	0	3	80	1	6	4	0	71	16	97	
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Adults (age 18 and over)	23	284	21	13	290	25	4	16	3	8	266	10	27	22	4	249	26	328	
Male	17	195	11	7	200	16	2	13	2	5	183	7	19	15	2	173	14	223	
Female	6	88	10	6	89	9	2	3	1	3	82	3	8	7	2	75	12	104	
Not Available	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	

### For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

T1 T2 T1 to T2 Change Assessment of the Impact of Services

		2 months   inning serv		"T2" Since Beginning Services (this year)			If Arre	If Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months)			If Not Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months)			Since starting to receive MH Services, my encounters with the police have						
	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# Reduced (fewer encounters)	# Stayed the Same	# Increased	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses		
Total	8	214	1	16	207	0	5	3	0	11	203	0	13	42	6	153	8	222		
Total Children/Youth (under age 18)	4	159	1	11	153	0	4	0	0	7	152	0	6	35	5	115	2	163		
Male	1	86	1	4	84	0	1	0	0	3	83	0	2	23	0	62	1	88		
Female	3	72	0	7	68	0	3	0	0	4	68	0	4	12	5	52	1	74		
Not Available	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Total Adults (age 18 and over)	4	55	0	5	54	0	1	3	0	4	51	0	7	7	1	38	6	59		
Male	1	41	0	3	39	0	0	1	0	3	38	0	5	6	0	28	3	42		
Female	3	14	0	2	15	0	1	2	0	1	13	0	2	1	1	10	3	17		
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Time period in which services were received: 7/1/17-6/30/18

## Please Describe the Sources of your Criminal Justice Data

Source of adult criminal justice information:	~	1. Consumer survey (recommended questions)		2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions	3. Mental health MIS
		4. State criminal justice agency		5. Local criminal justice agency	6. Other (specify)
Sources of children/youth criminal justice information:	~	Consumer survey (recommended questions)		2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions	3. Mental health MIS
		4. State criminal/juvenile justice agency		5. Local criminal/juvenile justice agency	6. Other (specify)
Measure of adult criminal justice involvement:	•	1. Arrests C 2. Other	(speci	fy)	
Measure of children/youth criminal justice involvement:	•	1. Arrests C 2. Other	(speci	fy)	
Mental health programs included:		1. Adults with SMI only $\Box$ 2. Other	adults	s (specify)	3. Both (all adults)
		1. Children with SED only $\Box$ 2. Other	Childı	ren (specify)	3. Both (all Children)
Region for which adult data are reported:	•	1. The whole state 2. Less than the	whole	state (please describe)	
Region for which children/youth data are reported:	•	1. The whole state C 2. Less than the	whole	state (please describe)	

## What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom Criminal Justice Data Are Reported

	Child/Adolescents	Adults
1. If data is from a survey, What is the total Number of people from which the sample was drawn?	17,385	39,059
2. What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)?	1,931	1,854
3. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)	1,679	1,605
4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, How many persons were CJ data available for?	368	421
5. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)	22.0 %	26.0 %
State Comments/Notes:		

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:

#### MHBG Table 22 (URS Table 19B) - Profile of Change in School Attendance

- 1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for School Attendance measures the change in days attended over time. The DIG Outcomes Workgroup pilot tested 3 consumer self-report items that can be used to provide this information. If your state has used the 3 Consumer Self-Report items on School Attendance, you may report them here.
- 2. If your SMHA has data on School Attendance from alternative sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for School attendance for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in the Attendance from T1 to T2, please use all these columns.
- 3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.
- 4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e. g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specifiy time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/17 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/18

#### For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

		t icast i=															
	T1			T2				T1 to T2	Change					Impact	of Services		
		nore than	"T2" Most	Recent 12 mc year)	onths (this	If Suspe	nded at T1 (P Months)	rior 12	If Not Sus	pended at T1 Months)	(Prior 12	Over the	last 12 m			lays my chil	d was in
# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# Greater (Improved)	# Stayed the Same	# Fewer days (gotten worse)	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
18	121	35	19	120	35	13	5	0	6	114	1	30	34	5	52	53	174
4	46	20	6	45	19	4	0	0	2	44	0	13	9	4	16	28	70
14	75	15	13	75	16	9	5	0	4	70	1	17	25	1	36	25	104
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	112	30	18	111	30	12	5	0	6	105	1	27	30	4	51	47	159
	"T1" Prior  # Suspended or Expelled  18	# # Not Suspended or Expelled  18 121  4 46 14 75 0 0	## No Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled   # No   # Suspended or   Expelled     4 46   20   6     4 4   75   15   13     19     13     19     10	## # Not Suspended or Expelled   # 121   35   19   120    4	# # Not Suspended or Expelled   # 121   35   19   120   35    4   46   20   6   45   19    14   75   15   13   75   16    0   0   0   0   0   0   0	## # Not Suspended or Expelled   T2   T2   T3   T3   T4   T5   T5   T5   T5   T5   T5   T5	## # Not Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	## Not Suspended or Expelled	## Not Suspended or Expelled	## Not Suspended or Expelled	T1 T2 T3 T1 to T2 Change  "T1" Prior 12 months (more than 1 year ago)	# # Not Suspended or Expelled or Expelled Supended in T2   T2   T3   T3   T4   T5   T5   T5   T5   T5   T5   T5	T1	T1" Prior 12 months (more than 1 year ago)	### Till Prior 12 months (more than 1 year ago)

### For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

		T1			T2				T1 to T2	? Change					Impact	of Services		
		12 months pri Jinning servic		"T2" Sinc	e Beginning (this year)	Services	If Suspe	nded at T1 (P Months)	rior 12	If Not Sus	pended at T1 Months)	(Prior 12	Since sta			Services, the in school hav		days my
	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# Greater (Improved)	# Stayed the Same	# Fewer days (gotten worse)	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	20	154	0	11	163	0	5	15	0	6	148	0	18	85	7	49	15	174
Gender																		
Male	10	83	0	4	89	0	2	8	0	2	81	0	9	48	4	25	7	93
Female	10	70	0	7	73	0	3	7	0	4	66	0	9	37	3	24	7	80
Not Available	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Age																		
Under 18	20	144	0	11	153	0	5	15	0	6	138	0	17	80	7	47	13	164
	Cabaal Assau	d l6	4:	▼ ,	C			-)	Пасы	C			Г	2 M		ALC.		

Source of School Attendance Information:	_	Consumer survey (recommended items)     State Education Department		Other Survey: Please send us items     Local Schools/Education Agencies	_	3. Mental health MIS 6. Other (specify)
Measure of School Attendance:	•	1. School Attendance	0	2. Other (specify):		
Mental health programs include:		1. Children with SED only		2. Other Children (specify)	~	3. Both
Region for which data are reported:	•	1. The whole state	0	2. Less than the whole state (please describe)		

What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom School Attendance Data Are Reported?

Child/Adolescents:

1. If data is from a survey, what is the total number of people from which the sample was drawn?

17,385	

- 2. What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)?
- 3. How many survey contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)
- 4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, how many persons were data available for?
- $5. \quad \text{What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)}$

State Comments/Notes:

J.	
79	
3	
0 %	

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020
Footnotes:

MHBG Table 23A (URS Table 20A) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary) Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

	Total number of Discharges in		lmissions to ANY pital within	Percent R	Readmitted	
	Year	30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days	
TOTAL	185	10	13	5.41 %	7.03 %	
Age						
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
13-17 years	21	1	1	4.76 %	4.76 %	
18-20 years	14	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
21-64 years	142	8	11	5.63 %	7.75 %	
65-74 years	6	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
75+ years	2	1	1	50.00 %	50.00 %	
Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Gender						
Female	57	4	5	7.02 %	8.77 %	
Male	128	6	8	4.69 %	6.25 %	
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Race						
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Asian	2	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Black/African American	89	5	8	5.62 %	8.99 %	
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
White d: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168	75	4	4	5.33 %	5.33 % Page	

Hispanic *	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
More than one race	1	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race Not Available	17	1	1	5.88 %	5.88 %
	•				
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
Hispanic/Latino Origin  Hispanic/Latino Origin	6	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	6 162	0 10	0	0.00 % 6.17 %	0.00 %

Are Forensic Patients Included?	Yes	(	No
---------------------------------	-----	---	----

Comments on Data:

Populated by NRI using Arkansas' 2018 MH-CLD SHR data

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

_						
F	^	0	tn	0	tΔ	c.

<sup>\*</sup>Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available

MHBG Table 23B (URS Table 20B) - Profile of Forensic Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

	Total number of Discharges in		lmissions to ANY pital within	Percent R	Readmitted
	Year	30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days
TOTAL	309	18	25	5.83 %	8.09 %
Age					
0-12 years	5	1	2	20.00 %	40.00 %
13-17 years	2	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
18-20 years	4	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
21-64 years	285	16	22	5.61 %	7.72 %
65-74 years	10	1	1	10.00 %	10.00 %
75+ years	3	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gender					
Female	74	5	7	6.76 %	9.46 %
Male	235	13	18	5.53 %	7.66 %
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race					
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Asian	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Black/African American	136	7	13	5.15 %	9.56 %
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
White d: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168	155	11	12	7.10 %	<b>7.74</b> % Page

Hispanic *	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
More than one race	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race Not Available	18	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	•	•			•
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
Hispanic/Latino Origin Hispanic/Latino Origin	5	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	5 294	0 18	0 25	0.00 % 6.12 %	0.00 %

Comments on Data:

Populated by NRI using Arkansas' 2018 MH-CLD SHR data

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Fo	O	tn	o	te	s:
----	---	----	---	----	----

<sup>\*</sup>Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available

MHBG Table 24 (URS Table 21) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary Patients) Readmission to Any Psychiatric Inpatient Care Unit (State Operated or Other Psychiatric Inpatient Unit) within 30/180 Days of Discharge

	Total number of Discharges in Year	Psychiatric Inpa	lmissions to ANY atient Care Unit Il within	Percent R	eadmitted
		30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days
TOTAL	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Age					
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
13-17 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
18-20 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
21-64 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
65-74 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
75+ years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gender					
Female	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Male	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race					
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Asian	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Black/African American	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
White	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Hispanic *	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
More than one race	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Hispanic/Latino Origin	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Non Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available		_	_		0.00.0/
- Thispathic/ Latino Origin Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
. Does this table include readmission from state osychiatric hospitals?		0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
. Does this table include readmission from state osychiatric hospitals?	Yes © No	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Does this table include readmission from state sychiatric hospitals?  Are Forensic Patients Included?	Yes © No			0.00 %	0.00 %